EXHIBIT B

```
1
    UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
 2
    SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
 3
     -----x
 4
       In the Matter
 5
           of
                                         Index No.
                                        01-08-01789
    BERNARD L. MADOFF, IRVING PICARD and THE BANKRUPTCY LINK, et al.
 6
 7
                        Debtors.
8
      ----x
9
                               December 17, 2009
10
                               United States Custom House
11
                               One Bowling Green
                               New York, New York 10004
12
13
    In Re Fee Application for all Professionals, et al.
14
                    BEFORE:
15
                        HON. BURTON R. LIFLAND,
16
                                      U.S. Bankruptcy Judge
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

۵

```
Transcript - Hearing Held 12-17-2009 - Second Interim Fee Applications.TXT
      3
                     BAKER HOSTETLER, LLP
                     Attorneys for Irving H. Picard, Esq., SIPA Trustee
45 Rockefeller Plaza
      4
                              New York, New York 10017
      5
                     BY:
                              DAVID SHEEHAN, ESQ.
      6
                                  -and-
      7
                              MARC E. HIRSCHFIELD, ESQ.
      8
      9
    10
                     SECURITIES INVESTOR PROTECTION CORPORATION 805 15th Street, Suite 800 Washington, D.C. 20005
    11
    12
                              KEVIN H. BELL, ESQ.
    13
                     BY:
    14
                     BAKER HOSTETLER, LLP
3200 National City Center
    15
    16
                              1900 East Ninth Street
                              Cleveland, Ohio 44114
    17
                              LOUIS A. COLOMBO, ESQ.
                     BY:
    18
                                   -and-
                              DAVID E. KITCHEN, SQ.
     19
     20
     21
     22
     23
     24
     25
                                                                                3
      1
          APPEARANCES: (Continued)
      2
      3
```

PHILLIPS NIZER, LLP

Attorneys for Objectants, Page 2

```
Transcript - Hearing Held 12-17-2009 - Second Interim Fee Applications.TXT 5 The Peskins, Maureen Ebel and others
                             666 Fifth Avenue
     6
                            New York, New York 10103
      7
                    BY:
                            CHRYSSA V. VALLETTA, ESQ.
     8
     9
    10
                    REEDSMITH, LLP
                             Attorneys for Bart Schwartz, the New York
    11
                             State appointed Receiver for the Ariel fund
                             and THE Gabriel Fund
    12
                             599 Lexington Avenue, 29th Floor
New York, New York 10022
    13
                             JAMES C. MCCARROLL, ESQ.
    14
                     BY:
                                      -and-
    15
                             LANCE GOTTHOFFER, ESQ.
                                      -and-
                             JOHN SCOTT, ESQ..
     16
     17
     18
     19
                     DECHERT, LLP
                             Attorneys for J. Ezra Merkin and Gabriel
     20
                             Capital Corporation
                             1095 Avenue of the Americas
     21
                             New York, New York 10036-6797
     22
                             ANDREW J. LEVANDER, ESQ.
                     BY:
                                 -and-
     23
                             GARY J. MENNITT, ESQ.
     24
     25
```

0

```
1 APPEARANCES: (Continued)
2
3 WINDELS MARX LANE & MITTENDORF, LLP
Attorneys for Chapter 7 Trustee
4 156 West 56th Street
New York, New York 10019
5
BY: ALAN NISSELSON, ESQ.
-and-
REGINA GRIFFIN, ESQ.
7
```

```
Transcript - Hearing Held 12-17-2009 - Second Interim Fee Applications.TXT
                  RICHARDS KIBBE ORBE, LLP
     9
                         Attorneys for Reciever, Lee Richards
                         1 World Financial Center
    10
                         New York, New York 10281
    11
                         DANIEL ZINMAN, ESQ.
                  BY:
    12
    13
    14
         ALSO PRESENT:
                          Lee Richards
    15
                          Stefanie Grossman
    16
    17
    18
    19
    20
    21
    22
    23
    24
    25
                                                                     5
                          Proceedings
     1
                          THE COURT: Bernard Madoff, Irving Picard
      2
          and the Bankruptcy Link.
      3
                          MR. SHEEHAN: Good morning, Your Honor.
      4
                                        Good morning.
                          THE COURT:
      5
                          MR. SHEEHAN: David Sheehan with the law
      6
          firm of Baker Hostetler on behalf of the trustee for the
      7
          liquidation Bernard Madoff, Securities Investor Protection
      8
          Corp., LLC.
      9
                          As you are fully aware, we have a number of
     10
                                    Page 4
```

Transcript - Hearing Held 12-17-2009 - Second Interim Fee Applications.TXT 11 matters on for a hearing this morning. 12 What we propose, Your Honor, and I think we informed your chambers of this, we would like to see what I 13 am calling the Merkin matters, the motions to dismiss and 14 the cases of Ariel and the Gabriel Funds that are on for 15 hearing this morning, that they be heard first. 16 And with regard to those motions I will not 17 My partner, Louis Colombo be arguing them, Your Honor. 18 19 will be. His pro hac viche admission is in process. 20 It has not been fully processed, but I would like the 21 Court's permission and counsel on the other side since Mr. 22 Colombo has been handling matters since the outset that he 23 be permitted to argue the motion here this morning? 24 THE COURT: There are some head nods at the 25 6 1 table. There is no objection. 2 The application is granted. We will 3 proceed to the many fee applications that we have. 4 Your Honor, Andrew Levander MR. LEVANDER: 5 from the Dechert, LLP law firm. My partner today is Gary 6 Mennitt. We represent Mr. J. Ezra Merkin and Gabriel 7 Capital Corporation and Gabriel Capital Corporation. It is 8 our motion to dismiss, and with the Court's leave I will 9

10

11

12

13

proceed to argument.

the complaint.

Page 5

we have a preemptive motion and that is the motion to amend

THE COURT: Well, no, it seems to me that

Transcript - Hearing Held 12-17-2009 - Second Interim Fee Applications.TXT That is fine. MR. LEVANDER: 14 THE COURT: Which essentially is what your 15 motion is targeting at. So let's hear the motion to amend 16 17 first. MR. LEVANDER: fine. My partner, Gary 18 19 Mennitt will handle it. THE COURT: It is not his motion. 20 No, it is his opposition. MR. LEVANDER: 21 MR. COLOMBO: The motion for leave to file 22 the second amended complaint as filed with the Court, and 23 as the Court is well aware we are in the very early stage 24 There has been no discovery, we are in those proceedings. 25

0

7

1 still in the pleading stage.

2 The test for allowing the amendment, of

3 course, is whether there is undue delay, which there hasn't

4 been; whether there is prejudice, there hasn't been. They

5 don't even challenge those issues in their briefs in

6 opposition to the motion for relief. They challenge the

7 motion on the grounds that the amendment would be futile,

8 but they never come to grips with what the thrust of the

9 amendment is, the thrust of the amendment is to assert

10 claims against Ezra Merkin, individually, as the general

11 partner of these various funds, such as Ascot and Gabriel;

12 Ascot in particular and as the general partner, the second

13 amended claim asserts he is liable under New York law or

14 Delaware law, whatever controls for the obligations of the

15 fund, to the extent the fund can't satisfy those

16 obligations of what we have alleged in the second amended

- 18 we gather in our reply brief that fully
- 19 establishes that Merkin is, in fact, obligated either under
- 20 New York or Delaware law to satisfy the obligations of the
- 21 partnership, to the extent the partnership cannot, I
- 22 understand Ascot has essentially millions of dollars in
- 23 fraudulent transfers, preferential transfers and in seeking
- 24 to recover the second amended claim asks to proceed against
- 25 Merkin. The law supports us, there has been undue delay

В

- 1 and we ask that it should be allowed.
- 2 THE COURT: Thank you.
- MR. MENNITT: Gary Mennitt of the law firm
- 4 Dechert, LLP, and Mr. Levander's partner.
- 5 Today we are before Your Honor with a
- 6 motion to amend the complaint. We represent Ezra Merkin,
- 7 Gabriel Capital Corporation and it is not an issue on that
- 8 motion to amend.
- 9 Mr. Colombo's presentation just completely
- 10 ignores the structure of the fraudulent conveyance
- 11 provisions in the Bankruptcy Code, and the limited ability
- 12 that a debtor-in-possession or trustee has to enforce those
- 13 rules in Bankruptcy Court.
- 14 We did not dispute in our obligations to
- 15 this motion the proposition that a general partner is
- 16 generally liable for the obligations of a limited
- 17 partnership, and that is the thrust of what Mr. Colombo
- 18 just stood up and said, that is the thrust of what is in
- 19 their motion papers but that is not even close to adequate

Transcript - Hearing Held 12-17-2009 - Second Interim Fee Applications.TXT 20 because, and as a result their motion to amend is futile

- 21 and the complaint as against Ezra Merkin for general
- 22 partner liability would notwithstanding a motion to
- 23 dismiss.
- 24 And the reason is because of the
- 25 application of Section 550 of the Bankruptcy Code, Your

D

- 1 Honor. Section 550 of the Bankruptcy Code sets up an
- 2 exclusive remedy, it sets up an exclusive remedy that a
- 3 Debtor-in-Possession or trustee has to enforce either the
- 4 Section 548 federal fraudulent conveyance provisions of the
- 5 Bankruptcy Code, but also the Section 544, the fraudulent
- 6 conveyance provision, that are incorporated in the
- 7 Bankruptcy Code state law provision.
- 8 They are only incorporated in the
- 9 Bankruptcy Court through Section 544, and as the Court is
- 10 well aware those rights do not inure to the Debtor outside
- 11 of bankruptcy.
- 12 The fact that Section 550 is the exclusive
- 13 source of power for the Debtor in possession or the trustee
- 14 to recover under any fraudulent conveyance action under the
- 15 Bankruptcy Code is black letter law, and cases are cited on
- 16 page 2 and on page 3 of our brief. Those are the
- 17 Telligent case, Stratton Oakmont, Pereira and Hooker.
- 18 In the reply brief that the trustee filed
- 19 in this case they don't cite any of those cases because
- 20 they know it is black letter law. They cannot challenge
- 21 the proposition that all fraudulent conveyance claims must
- 22 channel through Section 550 in this Court.

Transcript - Hearing Held 12-17-2009 - Second Interim Fee Applications.TXT 23 There is no other power. There is no

- 24 power under Delaware law to sue a general partner except
- 25 through Section 550. They know that. They don't address

0

- 1 any of those cases.
- The structure of the bankruptcy, Your
- 3 Honor, the provisions, and I won't spend too long on this
- 4 because I know the Court has written many times on these
- 5 issues, is basically as follows: Under Section 544(b),
- 6 the trustee can step in the shoes of the creditor and may
- 7 avoid any transfer avoidable under the applicable law by a
- 8 creditor.
- 9 Of course, that right, Your Honor, does not
- 10 exist outside the Bankruptcy Code by a Debtor, outside the
- 11 Bankruptcy Code, outside bankruptcy a Debtor can never sue
- 12 the recipient of a transfer of its property to recover that
- 13 property on the ground that it was a fraudulent conveyance.
- 14 THE COURT: It sounds to me, counsel, like
- 15 you are arguing the notion to dismiss targeted for the
- 16 second amended complaint.
- 17 And that the thrust of your argument is
- 18 that if I grant the application it would be futile.
- 19 MR. MENNITT: That is correct. It would
- 20 not survive the motion to dismiss. That is correct, it is a
- 21 futile argument.
- THE COURT: Do you have anymore witness?
- 23 MR. MENNITT: That is a ground for you to
- 24 deny the motion to amend.
- 25 THE COURT: I understand that.

0

11

MR. MENNITT: If I could just finish, and I 1 won't take too much more of your time. 2 3 THE COURT: Okay. MR. MENNITT: Section 544 says they could 4 step into the shoes of the Debtor, and Section 548 sets up 5 the fraudulent conveyance claims and Section 550 provides 6 the exclusive remedy in Bankruptcy Court. They don't even 7 In our papers we went through all of the people 8 that the Bankruptcy Code says you could collect the 550 9 claim against. They don't even attempt to say Ezra Merkin 10 falls into any of those categories. He is not an initial 11 He didn't have legal authority to take that 12 money for himself. To the extent he is a subsequent 13 transferee, they have that claim in the initial complaint 14 but it is not a subsequent transferee because he is the 15 general partner liable for all of these transfers and he is 16 not a person for whose benefit the transfer is made as the 17 general partner. 18 we laid that all out in our brief. They 19 don't address those issues in their response. 20 And, Your Honor, the fundamental 21 misapprehension of the trustee with respect to this motion 22 is on Page 7 of their brief. They said in reality the 23 proposed second amended complaint alleged that Merkin is 24 liable for Ascot transfers under the new Debtor and 25

- 1 Creditor law as well as the Bankruptcy Code. See, their
- 2 whole theory here, Your Honor, is that somehow because they
- 3 are alleging state law claims under Delaware law for GP
- 4 liability and under the state law --
- 5 THE COURT: They are arguing the motion to
- 6 dismiss the second amended complaint.
- 7 MR. MENNITT: But that is exactly what a
- 8 futility motion is.
- 9 THE COURT: I understand that. As I said,
- 10 I have read all of the papers and I understand that the
- 11 whole argument that you make is a futility argument.
- MR. MENNITT: That is absolutely correct.
- 13 THE COURT: That is absolutely correct.
- 14 MR. COLOMBO: Maybe we are talking at cross
- 15 purposes, but we are saying as a matter of law Mr. Merkin
- 16 is liable for paying for those transfers that Ascot cannot
- 17 pay. That is as simple as I could say it. And we don't
- 18 think it is a futile argument. We think it is supported
- 19 by laws in our briefs that we should be allowed to pursue
- 20 the claim.
- THE COURT: Thank you. As you well know,
- 22 we are at the early stages here, and the trustee is dealing
- 23 with a very complex set of facts, the facts are constantly
- 24 emerging. All you have to do is follow the media and find
- 25 out that more facts are unfolding every day. So it is not

0

- 1 beyond comprehension that you would get several requests
- 2 for an amended complaint as more factors are discovered.
- The argument of futility I cannot buy into Page 11

- 4 at this point.
- 5 It is one that is supplanted by the fact
- 6 that the second amended complaint as proposed does, subject
- 7 to joinder pleadings present sufficient to withstand the
- 8 motion, the objection to a motion to amend.
- g It is clear that a party may amend its
- 10 pleading on leave of the Court, as justice so requires and
- 11 in light of the highly complex investigation and continuing
- 12 discovery of new facts the Trustee apparently in good faith
- 13 is asserting new liability claims against Mr. Merkin
- 14 sufficient to open the door for the joinder of issues. That
- 15 is where I am coming from, the joinder of issues, and then
- 16 I will entertain the motions to dismiss if the Trustee has
- 17 not plead sufficiently under those circumstances.
- 18 Therefore, I am going to grant the
- 19 Trustee's motion for leave to file the second amended
- 20 complaint.
- 21 Now, that carries with it a reaction to the
- 22 other pending motion, and that is a motion to dismiss.
- 23 Some parties, some parties including the Trustee seem to
- 24 feel that this motion to amend only targets one or two of
- 25 all of the defendants. I disagree.

- 1 I think the rules and common sense indicate
- 2 all of the motions to dismiss should provide the
- 3 opportunity for all of the parties to effect a joinder.
- 4 Some of the other defendants may very well feel that the
- 5 second amended complaint is filed and served that they want
- 6 to react to it and amend their pleadings likewise. Page 12

```
Transcript - Hearing Held 12-17-2009 - Second Interim Fee Applications.TXT
                         So I am going to adjourn all of the motions
     7
         to dismiss pending the service of the second amended
     8
         complaint.
     9
                         How much time do you want for filing, and
    10
         how much time do you want for a response?
    11
                         MR. COLOMBO: Today is Thursday. I guess
    12
         by Monday.
    13
                         THE COURT: Are you sure you want Monday?
    14
         There should be follow-up facts coming
    15
                         MR. LEVANDER: It takes 30 days to make a
    16
         motion after that.
    17
                         THE COURT: Yes. Are you sure you want a
    18
         month because there seems to be more factors and facts
    19
         coming out in connection with that grand fraud almost every
    20
         day? If you want a month, I will give you a month.
    21
                         MR. COLOMBO: I am not going for a month,
    22
    23
         Your Honor.
                         THE COURT: I don't want to be faced with
    24
         any continuous motions to amend based upon the latest new
    25
                                                                   15
         fact that comes out.
     1
                          MR. COLOMBO: I understand that and we are
     2
     3
          sensitive to that.
                          Let's say a week.
     4
     5
                          THE COURT: Very well.
                          MR. LEVANDER:
                                          And then 30 days from that.
     6
                          Thank you very much.
     7
                          MR. GOTHOFFER: My name is Lance
     8
         Gotthoffer. And with me today, Your Honor, is John Scott
     9
```

Page 13

- 10 and James McCarroll from the law firm of ReedSmith
- 11 representing Bart Schwartz, the New York State appointed
- 12 receiver for the Ariel fund and the Gabriel fund.
- 13 Your Honor, I understand your point. I do
- 14 want to say we would be happy to proceed today on argument,
- 15 if Your Honor will permit that, but if Your Honor has made
- 16 up his mind that you wouldn't permit that --
- 17 THE COURT: When you see where they are
- 18 coming from, you may be closer to the road.
- MR. GOTTHOFFER: I am ready to go now or 30
- 20 days, as the Court may prefer.
- 21 THE COURT: Okay.
- 22 MR. SHEEHAN: Your Honor, we now have on
- 23 the agenda a number of fee applications for this morning.
- 24 Just to quickly review them. There are eight separate
- 25 applications with regard to international counsel that have

16

- been essentially retained by the trustee and are in various
- 2 jurisdictions throughout the world.
- 3 we had the application by Lee Richard,
- 4 former receiver for BLMIS and his counsel as well as for
- 5 Alix Partners, his consultant.
- 6 We also have an application by Windels
- 7 Marx, the special counsel retained by the Trustee.
- 8 We also, of course, have an application by
- 9 Mr. Picard as trustee and by Baker Hostetler as his
- 10 counsel.

- 11 What I would propose to do, Your Honor, is
- 12 to move as quickly as I can to the ones to which there is Page 14

- 13 no opposition.
- 14 I will start first with the eight
- 15 applications that were filed in connection with the
- 16 international counsel that was retained by the Trustee.
- 17 very briefly, Your Honor. As you well
- 18 know, as you say, not only from the newspapers report but
- 19 the reports filed by Court there are many actions that are
- 20 pending throughout the Caribbean and Europe with regard to
- 21 assets that we claim are part of the estate.
- 22 THE COURT: I said media, I didn't
- 23 necessarily say newspapers.
- 24 MR. SHEEHAN: I am just an old-timer so
- 25 that is where I get most of my news. What could I say?

0

- In any event, Your Honor, those
- 2 applications are all predicated upon the fact that in each
- 3 of those jurisdictions and Gibraltar is one I know you are
- 4 familiar with because we came before Your Honor to seek
- 5 your assistance with regard to the enforcement of our
- 6 action here in New York, that we have retained local
- 7 counsel to assist us with regard to 75 million dollars,
- 8 Your Honor, that is sitting in the accounts of the bank, of
- 9 which there are two banks.
- 10 But in any event, Bank Safra, and they are
- 11 holding their firms pursuant to the direction of the
- 12 Gibraltar court, and we have a fairly sophisticated fight
- 13 going on with regard to the Chapter 15 issue with respect
- 14 to the fact that we think we will come back before Your
- 15 Honor where there is local, criminal as well as civil and Page 15

- 16 the bankruptcy considerations that are in play. That Your
- 17 Honor just highlights the need for that special counsel to
- 18 assist us in that jurisdiction.
- 19 You would go through each of the others,
- 20 Your Honor, and I wouldn't take up the Court's time this
- 21 morning because we have submitted it in our Trustee's
- 22 report, that each of those jurisdiction in which we became
- 23 counsel, similar actions are pending. They are all in
- 24 different unique forums, in the liquidating action in
- 25 Bermuda, and in BVI and in the individual actions in the

- 1 Cayman Islands.
- 2 All of that has required us to institute
- 3 proceedings on behalf of Mr. Picard to appear given the
- 4 fact there are assets there.
- 5 As Your Honor knows, in a case such as
- 6 this, one of the things we have difficulty in doing is
- 7 tracing all those assets, that they go through different
- 8 transferees, and we have been successful in identifying a
- 9 number of those assets, and hopefully we will be successful
- 10 in retrieving them and bringing them back into the customer
- 11 funds and property. But without the assistance of these
- 12 counsel, I don't think we could accomplish that task.
- 13 So, Your Honor, I would move and they are
- 14 not opposed, all eight applications and I could read into
- 15 the record the various firms.
- 16 There is an application for interim
- 17 professional compensation for Attias & Levy; for Eugene F.
- 18 Collins; for Lovells LLP; SCA Creque, for Higgs Johnson Page 16

- 19 Truman Bodden & Company; for Williams Barristers &
- 20 Attorneys, for Schifferli Vafadar Sivilotti, for Schiltz &
- 21 Schiltz. And those are the firms, Your Honor, I would
- 22 respectfully move for their application for interim
- 23 compensation.
- 24 THE COURT: Does anyone else want to be
- 25 heard?

0

- 1 MR. BELL: Kevin Bell, on behalf of the
- 2 Securities Investor Protection Corp.
- 3 SIPC has reviewed each and every
- 4 application, and all the time records associated therewith,
- 5 and has filed its recommendation in support of each of
- 6 those applications of the international special counsel,
- 7 and we would ask the Court to approve the applications as
- 8 filed.
- 9 THE COURT: I note that after doing a
- 10 little look-see into all of them, most of them have
- 11 voluntarily given a public interest discount.
- 12 MR. SHEEHAN: That is correct. In each
- 13 instance it is that we demand, quite frankly that each
- 14 counsel be retained, make a 10 percent discount because it
- 15 is a case in the public interest.
- 16 THE COURT: What is it about it that makes
- 17 you think they don't take that into account?
- 18 MR. SHEEHAN: Your Honor, my cynicism and
- 19 yours are of equal stature. I don't think I could do much
- 20 more than that, but I agree it is a possibility.
- 21 In any event, at the end of the day they Page 17

- 22 also have a 20 percent holdback as is normal in the case of
- 23 people who work as special counsel and counsel to the
- 24 Trustee. So I believe, and probably most importantly
- 25 something I should emphasize this morning, in addition to

- 1 the discount, having been subjected to the scrutiny of
- 2 SIPC, and Your Honor has before you unredacted all of our
- 3 time records, we maintain and also we maintain through
- 4 international counsel very, very detailed reports of all
- 5 the time that is being spent.
- 6 So I know that good scrutiny is being
- 7 brought to all of those applications and Your Honor. So I
- 8 know that we in our office review them and sometimes feel
- 9 like corporate counsel reviewing records of retained
- 10 counsel around the country, but we do review all those
- 11 records as well.
- 12 We have modified those and reject the time
- 13 entries by them, et cetera, et cetera.
- 14 So all of these things are subject to I
- 15 good real scrutiny not only by our firm but by SIPC before
- 16 they ever reach Your Honor's desk.
- 17 THE COURT: Well, then upon those
- 18 representations it would appear that the amounts requested
- 19 are reasonable and based upon the services rendered, and I
- 20 will approve the application.
- 21 MR. SHEEHAN: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 22 The next application, Your Honor is that of
- 23 windels Marx. This is a law firm represented to you by
- 24 Alan Nisselson and Regina Griffin.

Transcript - Hearing Held 12-17-2009 - Second Interim Fee Applications.TXT

25 Mr. Nisselson, as Your Honor will recall

- 1 from the early history of this case was appointed as the
- 2 Chapter 7 Trustee for Bernard L. Madoff.
- 3 We moved for a substantial consolidation on
- 4 behalf of Mr. Picard. A consent order was arrived at
- 5 after much diligence it was engaged by Mr. Nisselson with
- 6 regard to the preparedness of that application.
- 7 At the end of the day, Your Honor, Mr.
- 8 Nisselson's firm was then retained as special counsel to
- 9 the Trustee. And if I may, Your Honor, just to tell you,
- 10 since that time we have worked, very, very cooperatively
- 11 with Mr. Nisselson, Ms. Griffin, and other partners and
- 12 associates in that firm.
- 13 As Your Honor well knows, that has yielded
- 14 the fruit of the BLMIS Air, Chapter 11, what is before Your
- 15 Honor, which is a very complex attempt to rename the asset
- 16 known as the aircraft, a 30 million dollar jet that Mr.
- 17 Blumfeld and Mr. Bernard Madoff purchased through a variety
- 18 of different LLCs and, hopefully, at the end of the day
- 19 that asset will be fully realized and returned to the
- 20 estate, and the Windels Marx firm is handling that as well
- 21 as a number other difficult situations in which Mr. Madoff
- 22 embedded himself as the principal owner, utilizing the firm
- 23 of BLMIS to carry the ball and get his interest, and
- 24 obviously it should come back to the estate.
- 25 What isn't so obvious is the fact that he

- 1 has created these corporate structures that must be
- 2 penetrated, dissected and then revealed as to what those
- 3 interests are, how the money should be returned.
- 4 We have asked Windels Marx to, because of
- 5 Mr. Nisselson's continuing role as for a limited purpose as
- 6 Your Honor will recall, utilizing his stature as a Chapter
- 7 Trustee for Mr. Madoff, continuing in that role, he in
- 8 these particular instances has, we believe, added strength
- 9 and value in terms of appearing together as counsel to Mr.
- 10 Picard in retrieving those assets for the estate.
- 11 And there is no objection to this, Your
- 12 Honor.
- 13 THE COURT: Does anyone else want to be
- 14 heard?
- 15 MR. BELL: Your Honor, SIPC is in support,
- 16 has reviewed the applications and time records, and would
- 17 note that is in the international counsel, there is a 10
- 18 percent public interest discount and SIPC has submitted its
- 19 recommendations in support of the application as filed.
- 20 THE COURT: Well, I was originally
- 21 concerned with respect to the consolidation that there
- 22 would be a redundancy, and apparently it has worked out
- 23 well. There is more efficiency in the way the structure
- 24 of the two estates, the combined estates have operated, and
- 25 I am satisfied with the application.

0

- 3 The next application, Your Honor, is also
- 4 unopposed. It is an application by Mr. Richards and his
- 5 firm representing Alix Partners.
- 6 Mr. Richards, I believe, will present that
- 7 application.
- 8 MR. RICHARDS: Good morning, Your Honor.
- 9 THE COURT: Good morning, Mr. Richards.
- 10 MR. RICHARDS: I was appointed a little
- 11 over a year ago to serve as the SEC's receiver. I served
- 12 in that capacity for a month or so until the SIPC trustee
- 13 was put in place and then in London, the provisional
- 14 liquidators was put in place.
- 15 we made our application for deeply
- 16 discounted fees. I believe they have been reviewed by
- 17 SIPC, and I believe there is a consent by SIPC and we would
- 18 otherwise rest on our papers, unless Your Honor has any
- 19 questions.
- 20 THE COURT: Does anyone want to be heard?
- 21 MR. BELL: Your Honor, Kevin Bell for SIPC.
- 22 I believe SIPC has reviewed these
- 23 applications, Your Honor, and the time records and has
- 24 engaged in intensive discussions with the receiver as
- 25 counsel, and has submitted its recommendation in support of

- 1 the applications as filed and we would recommend, Your
- 2 Honor, that the court approve them as filed.
- 3 THE COURT: I will grant the applications,
- 4 but I have one question. Alix Partners is no longer

```
Transcript - Hearing Held 12-17-2009 - Second Interim Fee Applications.TXT
         connected with the case or are they still being retained
     6
         under the aegis of the SIPC trustee?
                         MR. PICARD:
                                       They continued working for
     7
         the trustee. They are a claimant.
     8
                         THE COURT: This application relates to
     9
         these services to the receiver.
    10
                                       That is correct.
                                                           With
                         MR. PICARD:
    11
         respect to their work for me, they are pursuant to the SIPC
    12
    13
         statute.
                         THE COURT: I was only curious because I
    14
         saw this denominator as a final application.
    15
                         MR. PICARD: It's only final as the
    16
    17
         receiver.
                          THE COURT: The application is granted.
    18
                                      Thank you, Your Honor.
    19
                          MR. BELL:
                                         Thank you, Your Honor.
                          MR. SHEEHAN:
    20
                          MR. PICARD: Good morning, Your Honor.
                                                                    Αt
    21
         the outset I would like to suggest that you don't believe
    22
         everything you read or hear in the media because of --
    23
                          THE COURT: I don't necessarily believe
    24
```

0

25

25

Page 22

everything I read in the papers that are submitted to me

Transcript - Hearing Held 12-17-2009 - Second Interim Fee Applications.TXT 8 a five-month period through September 30. After giving

- 9 effect to the 10 percent discount which he referred to and
- 10 a write-off of approximately 225 hours, I am seeking
- 11 \$835,605, of which \$710,264.25, would be paid currently.
- 12 And, Your Honor, \$125,340.75 will be
- 13 deferred to a later point in the case.
- 14 I am also requesting a reduction of the 20
- 15 percent holdback to 15 percent. That was originally set
- 16 in the Court's order of February 25.
- 17 These requests have been approved by SIPC.
- 18 They filed a recommendation in the last couple of days.
- 19 As Your Honor is aware, SIPC has been
- 20 paying all of the administrative costs, one of which would
- 21 include these fees and prior fees and the other fees that
- 22 you have granted today.
- 23 MR. PICARD: Under the statute when SIPC
- 24 has a goal in the statute it pays whenever it seems to be
- 25 the rule.

0

- 1 There are a couple of things I would like
- 2 to state for the record, and this goes to some
- 3 misinformation, Your Honor, that has been out in the public
- 4 domain, some of which I had said at prior hearings.
- 5 Number 1, no costs of administration are
- 6 paid from the recoveries we make for the benefit of the
- 7 customers. The costs of administration in this case, Your
- 8 Honor, are paid by SIPC.
- 9 Number 2, the percentage fee schedule,
- 10 which applies in a Chapter 7 or a Chapter 11 bankruptcy

Transcript - Hearing Held 12-17-2009 - Second Interim Fee Applications.TXT 11 case does not apply in a SIPC liquidation. So, therefore,

- 12 I am not getting 3 percent as has been reported continually
- 13 both in the press and other places, Your Honor.
- 14 The 3, Your Honor, are whatever amounts you
- 15 award to me are paid over to the firm. I do not keep
- 16 them. There are --
- 17 THE COURT: I have to assume the firm pays
- 18 taxes on it.
- 19 MR. PICARD: I would assume that is
- 20 correct, Your Honor.
- 21 THE COURT: And you, too.
- MR. PICARD: I do when the firm pays me.
- 23 As far as I know, there has been one docketed objection,
- 24 and there have been a couple of letters that have been
- 25 sent. One of the objections is primarily focused on the

- 27
- 1 net equity issues making complaints that I believe are more
- 2 appropriate to be heard on February 2 and not in connection
- 3 with this fee application, Your Honor.
- 4 we did file a response yesterday to the
- 5 various objections and I will rest on that response. But
- 6 as noted there, Your Honor, some of the issues that are
- 7 raised you previously have ruled on. They are raised
- 8 again. I submit that the prior rulings in some cases
- 9 don't even apply to this case, Your Honor.
- 10 One of the objections specifically contends
- 11 that collecting assets in this case was easy. I beg to
- 12 differ. I did not see the banks or the Depository Trust
- 13 Clearing Corporation come to our door and offer to

Transcript - Hearing Held 12-17-2009 - Second Interim Fee Applications.TXT 14 surrender the money and securities that they were holding in the name of BLMIS. In fact, it took a lot of time and 15 effort to get those assets back and certainly under the 16 circumstances it's not surprising that they weren't lining 17 18 up at the door. But. Your Honor, it took time, effort and 19 we came to Court with a number of motions and applications 20 and stipulations that Your Honor may recall back to the 21 early part of the year. 22 Another apparent misconception or one that 23 I have heard and that, quite frankly, I was surprised of 24 was the price was raised in a congressional hearing last 25 28 week is that I have sued individuals for at least \$700 1 2 million. That is totally wrong, Your Honor. 3 only individuals other than the 14 major cases that we have 4 brought, the only individuals who have been sued by me have 5 been sued in counterclaims in response to a complaint that 6 7 was filed against me. The issue there, Your Honor, is we could 8 not lose the opportunity by not counterclaiming when we 9 answer to be able to bring a lawsuit or to be able to 10 pursue matters down the road. 11 That meant in the matters now pending, an 12 agreement by the parties, in not moving forward. 13 would just like the record to reflect we have not sued the 14

D

15

16 Moving to claims, Your Honor. We have

smaller individuals as has been reported.

Page 25

Transcript - Hearing Held 12-17-2009 - Second Interim Fee Applications.TXT 17 received more than 16,200 claims. Of that number we have

- 18 determined 11,568 as of Tuesday. That is approximately 71
- 19 percent, Your Honor.
- 20 We have committed over 563 million dollars
- 21 of the SIPC funds based on the cash in and cash out
- 22 methodology.
- 23 While I am not going to address that issue
- 24 today, Your Honor, the record should reflect that I have
- 25 informed customers publicly and in determination letters

- 1 that if the Court finally determines that the way we are
- 2 dealing with claims is erroneous, we will go back and we
- 3 will revisit all the claims' determinations whether or not
- 4 a party has filed an objection.
- 5 Your Honor, during the compensation period
- 6 we implemented a hardship program in an effort to move
- 7 forward people who were in tremendous need, whether they
- 8 had medical problems, were losing their house to
- 9 foreclosure, and various and sundry other means that were a
- 10 problem that we could recognize, and we only got 317
- 11 applications. Those have been moved through the system as
- 12 best as we could.
- 13 Many of them have been determined. Many
- 14 have been allowed.
- 15 As I indicated through counsel, I have
- 16 started 14 pieces of litigation for approximately 15
- 17 billion dollars. They are primarily fraudulent
- 18 transactions. Without litigation we have already
- 19 recovered 240 million dollars in preferences.

Transcript - Hearing Held 12-17-2009 - Second Interim Fee Applications.TXT 20 These are people who have come forward.

- 21 One was a stipulation that Your Honor heard a number of
- 22 months ago. That has now been fully paid up and most of
- 23 the others are being handled through the claims process in
- 24 accordance with procedures that Your Honor fixed in the
- 25 claims procedure order signed last December.

- 1 We are hopeful, Your Honor, in the near
- 2 future we will have some substantial recoveries of some
- 3 other matters. We will bring them to the Court to the
- 4 extent we have to do that and otherwise we will certainly
- 5 let the public, the people who are interested in the case,
- 6 know about them.
- 7 Getting back specifically to my
- 8 application, Your Honor. The four major areas in which I
- 9 expended time during the period account for about 68
- 10 percent. There are claims reviews, and case
- 11 administrations, the Bankruptcy Court litigation and the
- 12 Trustee's investigation.
- 13 Your Honor, another approximately 12
- 14 percent of the time has been spent in avoidance actions,
- 15 asset searches and dealing with the United States
- 16 Attorneys' Office.
- 17 I would like to let the Court know that
- 18 with respect to the U.S. Attorney's office we have a
- 19 cooperative program, if you will, and that is not to say
- 20 from time to time there aren't some little humps that we
- 21 each have to get over, but we have had good communications
- 22 and we are both progressing and doing what we each have to

- 24 As I have noted earlier, there are
- 25 insufficient funds in the estate. So SIPC will be paying

0

- 1 these fees and paying the costs of administration as it has
- 2 all along.
- 3 I would be happy to answer any questions,
- 4 if you have any.
- THE COURT: Does anyone want to be heard?
- 6 MS. VALLETTA: Yes, Your Honor. My name is
- 7 Chryssa Valletta, from the Phillips Nizer law firm. We
- 8 represent the objectants, Diane and Roger Peskin, Maureen
- 9 Ebel and various other customers.
- 10 I would just like to say our papers
- 11 constitute an offer of proof and we respectfully request an
- 12 opportunity to have an evidentiary hearing in which we
- 13 could prove the facts set forth in our objection and proofs
- 14 that fees should be disallowed and the trustee should be
- 15 disqualified and that of his counsel.
- 16 Other than that I rest on my papers unless
- 17 Your Honor has any questions.
- 18 THE COURT: Thank you, I have no questions.
- 19 MR. BELL: Your Honor, SIPC is here in
- 20 support of the Trustee application. As you have noted
- 21 before, the Trustee has taken a 10 percent service
- 22 discount, that is the Trustee and all counsel in this case.
- 23 SIPC supports the reduction of the holdback
- 24 of 20 percent to 15 percent going forward and backward,
- 25 adjusting the Court's order of monthly compensation

0

32

procedure order of February. 1 Your Honor, I would like to emphasize at 2 this point in time in the liquidation proceeding, SIPC 3 agrees with the Trustee that there is no reasonable 4 expectation that the general estate will be sufficient. 5 SIPC all along has hoped that we will move 6 from the word "shall" to "may" in the preparatory language 7 But at this point in time, SIPC on the compensation. 8 agrees with the trustee that there is no reasonable 9 expectation that there will be any money put for general 10 creditors or that SIPC will be repaid any of the money in 11 advance to pay all of the administrative expenses, both the 12 fees and costs of this case. 13 I would further state that some of the 14 objectors's points were made to Congress last week that 15 there be suggested changes in the SIPA statute. 16 I think within hours of those suggestions, 17 Congress passed minor amendments and at least the House of 18 Representative left the statute standing including all 19 provisions with regard to fees that the Court would be 20 acting under. 21 well, there has been a 22 THE COURT: response to the objections that have been filed and, 23 frankly, I would be a little bit surprised if there was the 24 support for the response given to the respondents long 25

- 1 term.
- 2 Frankly, the Trustee's response and their
- 3 presentation today makes it clear that there is nothing in
- 4 the objection to the Trustee's fees or the fees of Baker
- 5 Hostetler that creates issues even that I haven't addressed
- 6 previously, nor do they raise any sufficient concerns that
- 7 this Court should order some kind of an evidentiary
- 8 hearing. There is backup in connection with that.
- 9 And some of the objections while
- 10 cosmetically look somewhat appealing are bordering on the
- 11 frivolous. The Trustee is charged with the mission of
- 12 going out to hunt out for assets and under in a case like
- 13 this that hunt is not easily achieved.
- 14 For example, objections to the use of 2004
- 15 subpoenas on a broad base is something that is almost basic
- 16 that it is a requirement of a case like this and the
- 17 objection on that ground that the Trustee is too aggressive
- 18 doesn't resonate with appropriate sincerity.
- 19 I am aware that the objectants have a
- 20 position which they articulated in the past and in the
- 21 media and before me, and the issues that are there are the
- 22 ones that will be dealt with appropriately when that is a
- 23 complete joinder and when I have all of the issues before
- 24 me I can make the appropriate determinations.
- 25 So, I am going to overrule the objection on

- 1 basically the same ground that I have done previously, and
- 2 I find nothing new in the current objection that overlaps
- 3 the original rulings.

Transcript - Hearing Held 12-17-2009 - Second Interim Fee Applications.TXT 4 I do overrule the objections at this point. Your Honor, what remains is 5 MR. SHEEHAN: only the application of Baker Hostetler. Your Honor is 6 fully familiar with the record. I don't intend to cover 7 8 that again. I think the Trustee this morning has 9 addressed most of the objections that were raised by the 10 other side. 11 THE COURT: And the other side has rested 12 on those papers and I already responded. 13 So, does anyone else want to be heard? 14 There were two letter objections. 15 There were, Your Honor. MR. SHEEHAN: 16 MR. PICARD: Your Honor, with respect to 17 one of them, the person who wrote the letter was not a 18 customer. But the customer to whom that person is related 19 has had his claim determined and allowed and that includes 20 the \$500,000 SIPC advance. 21 Unless you want to hear MR. SHEEHAN: 22 something further from me, and I am more than happy to do 23 24 that, we are concluded. THE COURT: Does anyone else want to be 25 35

D

1 heard?

The applications are granted.

3 MR. SHEEHAN: I have multiple sets of

4 orders and a disk with all of the applications for Your

5 Honor's consideration.

6 THE COURT: I will entertain it. I have Page 31

```
Transcript - Hearing Held 12-17-2009 - Second Interim Fee Applications.TXT
         approved the order.
                                       Thank you very much, Your
                         MR. SHEEHAN:
     8
         Honor. The SIPC trustee thanks you, Your Honor.
     9
    10
    11
    12
    13
    14
    15
    16
    17
    18
    19
    20
    21
    22
    23
     24
     25
                                                                   36
                          CERTIFICATE
      1
      2
      3
          STATE OF NEW YORK
                                       ss.:
          COUNTY OF NEW YORK
      4
                              I. MINDY CORCORAN, a Shorthand Reporter
      5
          and Notary Public within and for the State of New York, do
      6
      7
          hereby certify:
                          That I reported the proceedings in the
      8
          within entitled matter, and that the within transcript is a
      9
                                   Page 32
```

D

Transcript - Hearing Held 12-17-2009 - Second Interim Fee Applications.TXT 10 true record of such proceedings. I further certify that I am not related, by 11 blood or marriage, to any of the parties in this matter and 12 that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this 13 14 matter. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my 15 16 hand this 19th day of December, 2009. 17 18 MINDY CORCORAN 19 20 21 22 23 24 25